

The quantization complexity of diffusion processes

by

Steffen Dereich

Technische Universität Berlin

Summary. We investigate the high resolution coding problem for solutions of stochastic differential equations in the $L^p[0, 1]$ - and the $\mathbb{C}[0, 1]$ -space. Tight asymptotic estimates are found under weak regularity assumptions. The main technical tool is a decoupling method which allows us to relate the complexity of the diffusion process to that of the Wiener process in certain random Banach spaces.

Keywords. High-resolution quantization; complexity; stochastic processes; stochastic differential equations.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60G35, 41A25, 94A15.

1 Introduction

In this article, we study the high resolution quantization problem for $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued stochastic processes X that are solutions of stochastic differential equations. We let $\mathbb{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the set of continuous functions mapping $[0, 1]$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$ and denote by $\|\cdot\|_{[0,1]}$ the corresponding supremum norm, i.e. $\|f\|_{[0,1]} = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f(t)|$. Analogously, $L^q([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the L^q -space of functions mapping $[0, 1]$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^q[0,1]}$ denotes the corresponding L^q -norm.

We consider norm based distortion measures induced by the latter two norms. More precisely, for $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ equal to $(\mathbb{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{[0,1]})$ or $(L^q([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{L^q[0,1]})$, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the quantization error

$$D^{(q)}(r|X, \|\cdot\|, p) = \inf_{\hat{X}} \mathbb{E}[\|X - \hat{X}\|^p]^{1/p},$$

where the infimum is taken over all discrete, E -valued random vectors $\hat{X}$ (reconstructions) with $|\text{range}(\hat{X})| \leq e^r$. The main objective is to provide sharp estimates for the asymptotic quantization error. Apart from the quantization problem, the new methods prove to be useful also when considering entropy constrained coding.

Until the end of the past century, research was mainly focused on source signals X in finite dimensional spaces. An extensive overview on this theory is contained in the monograph by Graf and Luschgy (2000). For a general absolutely continuous measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, the asymptotic quantization error is related to that for the uniform distribution on the unit cube; essentially, one obtains an additional factor depending on the quantity $\|\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda^d}\|_{L^q}$, where $q > 0$ is a parameter depending on the studied moment (see Graf and Luschgy (2000), Theorem 6.2).

In Fehringer (2001) and Dereich et al. (2003), the asymptotic quantization problem was treated for Gaussian measures on separable (typically infinite dimensional) Banach spaces. In this setting, the quantization error can be estimated against the inverse of the small ball function. Results from the theory of small ball probabilities then lead to good estimates for many important examples. Moreover, in Dereich and Scheutzow (2004) it is found that for the d -dimensional Wiener process W considered in $\mathbb{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a constant $K \in (0, \infty)$ independent of the moment index $p > 0$ such that the quantization error satisfies

$$D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{[0,1]}, p) \sim K \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}$$

as the rate r tends to infinity. The constant K is related to the principal eigenvalue of the Dirichlet problem on the unit disc. In particular, one obtains for $d = 1$ that $K \in [\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{8}}, \pi]$.

Here and elsewhere we write $f \sim g$ iff $\lim \frac{f}{g} = 1$, while $f \lesssim g$ stands for $\limsup \frac{f}{g} \leq 1$. Finally, $f \approx g$ means

$$0 < \liminf \frac{f}{g} \leq \limsup \frac{f}{g} < \infty .$$

When the underlying space of the original process X is a Hilbert space, a detailed analysis of the problem is possible: For any moment $p > 0$ the asymptotic quantization error is equivalent to the distortion-rate function for the second moment norm-based distortion (mean squared error). The statement requires only mild conditions on the asymptotics of the eigenvalues of the corresponding covariance operator (see Dereich (2003), Theorem

6.2.1; Luschgy and Pagès (2004b)). By a result of Kolmogorov (see Ihara (1993), Theorem 6.9.1) the distortion-rate function is given by some implicit formula and the explicit asymptotics can be computed in many cases. In particular, if the sequence of ordered eigenvalues (λ_n) is regularly varying with index $-\alpha < -1$, then for any moment index $p > 0$,

$$D^{(q)}(r|X, \|\cdot\|, p) \sim \left(\frac{\alpha^\alpha}{2^{\alpha-1}(\alpha-1)} r \lambda_{[r]} \right)^{1/2}$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ (see Luschgy and Pagès (2004b)). In particular, the d -dimensional Wiener process W in $L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies

$$D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{L^2[0,1]}, 2) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}d}{\pi\sqrt{r}}.$$

Let us now focus on the coding complexity of solutions $(X_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ of stochastic differential equations. Luschgy and Pagès (2004a) considered 1-dimensional diffusions with continuously differentiable diffusion coefficients. Their coding strategy is based on the Lamperti transform which maps the original (X_t) onto a process $(\tilde{X}_t)$ which is a Brownian motion plus drift term. Approximating the process $\tilde{X}$ by some close process $\hat{\tilde{X}}$ and inverting the Lamperti transform for $\hat{\tilde{X}}$ leads to a "good" reconstruction of the original. Under a regularity assumption on the Lamperti transform (assumption (3.8)) and the assumption that the diffusion coefficient is strictly bounded away from 0, they are able to prove that

$$D^{(q)}(r|X, \|\cdot\|_{L^q[0,1]}, p) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}, \quad r \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any $q \in [1, \infty)$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$. The same estimate is valid when the L^q -norm is replaced by the supremum norm.

In contrast to Luschgy and Pagès (2004a), we use the Doob-Meyer decomposition and a time change to approximate the original. Our approach leads to the strong asymptotics in the quantization problem when the underlying norm is the supremum norm. Moreover, we obtain an upper bound for the L^q -norm which we conjecture to be asymptotically tight. Our analysis requires only mild regularity assumptions for the drift and diffusion coefficients. Moreover, the multi-dimensional case with scalar diffusion coefficient is included in our approach. We shall see that the coding problem for diffusions is tightly connected to that for the Wiener process, and this link will be the main tool in the proofs of the present results. Beyond the quantization

problem, this fact seems to be useful as well when considering the entropy constrained coding problem.

Let us now fix the notation. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete filtered probability space that satisfies the usual hypotheses, i.e. $\mathcal{F}_0$ contains all $\mathbb{P}$ -null sets of $\mathcal{F}$ and $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ is right continuous. Let $(\tilde{W}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a d -dimensional $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -Wiener process. We denote by $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $b : \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ two deterministic functions, and assume that $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted semimartingale solving the integral equation

$$X_t = \int_0^t b(X_s, s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, s) d\tilde{W}_s \quad (t \geq 0). \quad (1)$$

For ease of notation, we abridge $b_t := b(X_t, t)$ and $\sigma_t := \sigma(X_t, t)$ for $t \geq 0$. $(X_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ represents the original process which will be approximated by some discrete r.v. $\hat{X}$, the reconstruction.

Our analysis requires the introduction of the quantization error under random distortion measures. In the setting of a supremum norm-based distortions we let $E = \mathbb{C}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$, whereas $E = L^q([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$ will be used for L^q -norm based distortions. For an E -valued random vector Z , a measurable function $\rho : \Omega \times E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $p > 0$, and $r \geq 0$, let

$$D^{(q)}(r|Z, \rho, p) = \inf_{\hat{Z}} \mathbb{E}[\rho_\omega(Z - \hat{Z})^p]^{1/p},$$

where the infimum is taken over all discrete, E -valued r.v. $\hat{Z}$ with

$$|\text{range}(\hat{Z})| \leq e^r.$$

This is the p -th moment quantization error for the rate r , source Z and distortion ρ . An E -valued r.v. $\hat{Z}$ is called a *regular reconstruction* for Z , if

$$\rho_\omega(Z - \hat{Z}) \leq \rho_\omega(Z) \quad (2)$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Condition (2) is satisfied, if, for instance, $\hat{Z}$ is a reconstruction induced by a codebook containing the zero function 0. The quantization quantity obtained when confining oneself to regular reconstructions is denoted by $D^{(q,0)}(r|Z, \rho, p)$. Our analysis is based on a technical assumption:

Assumption 1.1 (C). There exist constants $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and $L < \infty$ such that for $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty)$

$$|\sigma(z)| + |b(z)| \leq L(|z| + 1) \quad (3)$$

$$|\sigma(z) - \sigma(z')| \leq L[|z - z'|^\beta + |z - z'|]. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, we assume that the process $(\sigma_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ is not indistinguishable from the constant 0-function.

Note that assumption (C) does neither ensure existence nor uniqueness of the solution of the stochastic differential equation (1). A useful consequence of assumption (C) is that $\mathbb{E}[\|X\|_{[0,1]}^p]$ is finite for any $p \geq 1$.

Our main objectives are the following two theorems:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p \geq 1$ and*

$$K = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{r} D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{[0,1]}, p) \in (0, \infty).$$

Then

$$D^{(q)}(r|X, \|\cdot\|_{[0,1]}, p) \sim K \mathbb{E}[\|\sigma\|_{L^2[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let $p \geq 1$ and $K < \infty$ be such that*

$$D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{L^p[0,1]}, p) \lesssim K \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

Then

$$D^{(q)}(r|X, \|\cdot\|_{L^p[0,1]}, p) \lesssim K \mathbb{E}[\|\sigma\|_{L^{2p/(p+2)}[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

In order to approximate the process $(X_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$, we write (X_t) in its Doob-Meyer decomposition $X_t = M_t + A_t$, where

$$M_t = \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, s) d\tilde{W}_s \quad \text{and} \quad A_t = \int_0^t b(X_s, s) ds.$$

We shall see that the dominant term in the quantization problem is the continuous martingale M . As is well known, we can represent M as a time change of a Wiener process. Let

$$\varphi(t) = \int_0^t \sigma_s^2 ds.$$

By changing the drift and diffusion coefficients outside the time window $[0, 1]$ we can ensure that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$ without changing the process $(X_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$. Then $W_t = M_{\varphi^{-1}(t)}$ is a d -dimensional $(\mathcal{F}_t^W)$ -Wiener process, where

$$\varphi^{-1}(t) := \inf \left\{ s \geq 0 : \int_0^s \sigma_u^2 du \geq t \right\}.$$

We roughly sketch the idea of the coding scheme for M . It can be decomposed in the following two steps:

1. approximate the real time transform φ by some random monotone function $\hat{\varphi} \in \mathbb{C}[0, 1]$, and
2. approximate $(W_t)_{t \in [0, \tau]}$ ($\tau := \hat{\varphi}(1)$) by $(\hat{W}_t)_{t \in [0, \tau]}$.

Then $\hat{M} = \hat{W}_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)}$ is considered as the reconstruction, and the coding error can be controlled by

$$\begin{aligned} \|M - \hat{M}\|_{[0,1]} &\leq \|W_{\varphi(\cdot)} - W_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)}\|_{[0,1]} + \|W_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)} - \hat{W}_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)}\|_{[0,1]} \\ &\leq \|W_{\varphi(\cdot)} - W_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)}\|_{[0,1]} + \|W - \hat{W}\|_{[0,\tau]}. \end{aligned}$$

In the setting of L^p -norm based distortion, the corresponding estimate is then

$$\|M - \hat{M}\|_{L^p[0,1]} \leq \|W_{\varphi(\cdot)} - W_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)}\|_{[0,1]} + \|W_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)} - \hat{W}_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)}\|_{L^p[0,1]}.$$

Denoting by ν the random measure induced by $\hat{\varphi}$, i.e. $\nu := \lambda(\hat{\varphi}^{-1})$, one obtains

$$\|M - \hat{M}\|_{L^p[0,1]} \leq \|W_{\varphi(\cdot)} - W_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)}\|_{[0,1]} + \|W - \hat{W}\|_{L^p(\nu)}.$$

We shall see that, in both cases, the first term in the sum is asymptotically negligible so that the asymptotics are governed by the second terms. So we need strong estimates for the second term, whereas weak estimates suffice for the first term.

The article is outlined as follows. The proofs of the theorems are based on a representation of the diffusion (Theorem 7.1) which will be given in Section 7. The proof of this statement requires a couple of preliminary results: We start in Section 2 by providing an upper bound for the quantization error based on entropy numbers of compact embeddings. These yield weak asymptotic estimates which enable us to control the asymptotically negligible terms. Next, we prove an estimate for the moments of the Hölder norm of continuous martingales. Based on this estimate, we then show that the time transform φ lies in a Hölder-Zygmund space, and that all its moments are finite. This leads to estimates for the quantization problem for φ . The next section is devoted to an upper bound for $\|W_{\varphi(\cdot)} - W_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)}\|_{[0,1]}$ for “good” reconstructions $\hat{\varphi}$ of φ . In the following section, results of the theory of enlargements of filtrations are used to show that roughly speaking the martingale W can be assumed to be independent of the time transform $\hat{\varphi}$ without changing the coding complexity. Putting all these results together leads to the proof of the main representation (Theorem 7.1). With this theorem, the problems of coding the diffusion are equivalent to coding W in random $L^p(\nu)$ and $\mathbb{C}[0, \tau]$ -spaces, respectively. Solving the optimal rate allocation problems leads to the main theorems.

2 Entropy numbers and the quantization problem

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ and $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$ denote normed vector spaces such that E is compactly embedded into F . We denote by $e_n = e_n(E, F)$ the entropy numbers of the embedding, i.e.

$$e_n(E, F) := \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : \exists x_1, \dots, x_{2^{n-1}} \in F \text{ s.t. } B_E(0, 1) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} B_F(x_i, \varepsilon) \right\}.$$

We assume that E is endowed with a σ -field such that both norms and the vector space operations are measurable. In this section we write $f \lesssim g$ iff $\limsup \frac{f}{g} < \infty$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha > 0$, and suppose that E is compactly embedded into F with*

$$e_n(E, F) \lesssim n^{-\alpha}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5)$$

Then for all $\tilde{p} > p > 0$ there exists a constant $c = c(p, \tilde{p}) < \infty$ such that for all E -valued r.v.'s Z and $r \geq 0$, one has

$$D^{(q,0)}(r|Z, \|\cdot\|_F, p) \leq c \mathbb{E}[\|Z\|_E^{\tilde{p}}]^{1/\tilde{p}} \frac{1}{1 + r^\alpha}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Fix $\tilde{p} > p > 0$. Notice that it suffices to prove the existence of a constant $c < \infty$ such that for any E -valued r.v. Z with $\mathbb{E}[\|Z\|_E^{\tilde{p}}]^{1/\tilde{p}} = 1$:

$$D^{(q,0)}(r|Z, \|\cdot\|_F, p) \leq c \frac{1}{1 + r^\alpha},$$

since the general statement then follows by a scaling argument. Notice that $e_n = e_n(E, F)$ is bounded by the norm $\|\text{id} : E \rightarrow F\| =: \xi$. Consequently, using assumption (5), there exists $c_1 < \infty$ with

$$e_n \leq c_1 n^{-\alpha} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let $U = B_E(0, 1)$ and

$$N(\varepsilon, A) = \min \{ |\mathcal{C}| : A \subset \mathcal{C} + B_F(0, \varepsilon) \} \quad (A \subset F, \varepsilon > 0).$$

Then one has $\log N(2e_n, U) \leq (n-1) \log 2$, and hence:

$$\log N(c_1 n^{-\alpha}, U) \leq (n-1) \log 2.$$

By the monotonicity of $\varepsilon \mapsto N(\varepsilon, U)$, it now follows that $\log N(\varepsilon, U) \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/\alpha}$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Since $N(\varepsilon, U) = 1$ for $\varepsilon \geq \xi$, there exists a constant $c_2 < \infty$ such that

$$\log N(\varepsilon, U) \leq c_2 \varepsilon^{-1/\alpha} \quad (\varepsilon > 0),$$

and, consequently,

$$\log N(\varepsilon, sU) \leq \frac{c_2 s^{1/\alpha}}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} \quad (7)$$

for every $s, \varepsilon > 0$. We fix $\eta > 0$ such that $(1 + \eta)p < \tilde{p}$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary and consider

$$\varepsilon_i := \varepsilon_i(\varepsilon) := \varepsilon e^{(1+\eta)i} \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Moreover, let

$$s_i = e^i \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

and $s_{-1} := 0$. We use ε_i -nets of the sets $s_i U$ to generate an appropriate codebook. Note that $\varepsilon_i \geq \xi s_i$, if

$$i \geq \left\lceil \frac{1}{\eta} \log(\xi/\varepsilon) \right\rceil \vee 0 =: M.$$

Since $\xi \|x\|_E \geq \|x\|_F$ for $x \in E$, the set $\{0\}$ is an optimal ε_i -net of $r_i U$ for $i \geq M$. We consider the codebook

$$\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon) = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{M-1} \mathcal{C}_i(\varepsilon),$$

where $\mathcal{C}_i(\varepsilon)$ denote arbitrary optimal ε_i -nets of $r_i U$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}_0$). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[d_F(Z, \mathcal{C}(\varepsilon))^p] &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[1_{[s_{i-1}, s_i)}(\|Z\|_E) d(Z, \mathcal{C}_i(\varepsilon))^p] \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\|Z\|_E \geq s_{i-1}) \varepsilon_i^p \\
&= \varepsilon^p + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\|Z\|_E^{\tilde{p}}}{s_{i-1}^{\tilde{p}}} \geq 1\right) \varepsilon_i^p \\
&\leq \varepsilon^p + \mathbb{E}[\|Z\|_E^{\tilde{p}}] \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i^p}{s_{i-1}^{\tilde{p}}} \\
&= \varepsilon^p \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1+\eta)ip}}{e^{(i-1)\tilde{p}}}\right) \\
&= \varepsilon^p \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{\tilde{p} - (\tilde{p} - (1+\eta)p)i}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{p} > (1 + \eta)p$, the previous sum converges. Consequently, there exists a constant $c_3 < \infty$ not depending on Z and such that

$$\mathbb{E}[d_F(Z, \mathcal{C}(\varepsilon))^p] \leq c_3 \varepsilon^p.$$

It remains to compute an upper bound for the size of $\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)$. If $\varepsilon \geq \xi$, then $M = 0$ and $|\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)| = 1$. On the other hand, for $\varepsilon < \xi$ equation (7) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)| &\leq 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} |\mathcal{C}_i(\varepsilon)| \leq 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \exp\{c_2 (s_i/\varepsilon_i)^{1/\alpha}\} \\
&= 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \exp\left\{c_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} e^{-i\eta/\alpha}\right\} \\
&\leq 1 + M \exp\left\{c_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}}\right\} \\
&\leq 1 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\eta} \log(\xi/\varepsilon)\right) \exp\left\{c_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining both estimates, we conclude that there exists a constant $c_4 < \infty$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$|\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)| \leq \exp\left\{c_4 \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}}\right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$D^{(q,0)}(c_4 \varepsilon^{-1/\alpha} |Z, \|\cdot\|_F, p)^p \leq c_3 \varepsilon^p$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ and, hence, choosing $r > 0$ arbitrary and $\varepsilon = (r/c_4)^{-1/\alpha}$ yields

$$D^{(q,0)}(r|Z, \|\cdot\|_F, p) \leq c_5 \frac{1}{r^\alpha}$$

for some constant $c_5 < \infty$. Since $D^{(q,0)}(r, |Z, \|\cdot\|_F, p)$ is uniformly bounded by ξ , we finally conclude that there exists a constant $c_6 < \infty$ such that

$$D^{(q,0)}(r|Z, \|\cdot\|_F, p) \leq c_6 \frac{1}{1 + r^\alpha}$$

for all $r \geq 0$. □

3 Hölder continuity of M

Let $M = (M_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be an $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted process of the form $M_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s d\tilde{W}_s$, where (σ_t) is an $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted process such that the integral is well defined. In this section we do not require that (σ_t) be given by $\sigma_t = \sigma(X_t, t)$. We denote by $|\cdot|_\alpha$ the α -Hölder semi-norm on $\mathbb{C}[0,1]$, i.e.

$$|f|_\alpha := \sup_{0 \leq s < t \leq 1} \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha}.$$

Based on the GRR inequality (see Garsia et al. (1970/1971)) we derive an upper bound for the moments of $|M|_\alpha$:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\kappa > 2/(1 - 2\alpha)$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(\kappa, \alpha)$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[|M|_\alpha^\kappa] \leq c \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[|\sigma_u|^\kappa] du.$$

The constant c does not depend on the martingale M .

Proof. Fix $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and let $\beta, \gamma > 0$ with $\alpha = \gamma - 2/\beta$. We consider $\Psi(x) = |x|^\beta$ and $p(x) = |x|^\gamma$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the GRR lemma states that for

$$B := B(f) := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Psi\left(\frac{f(s) - f(t)}{p(s - t)}\right) ds dt = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{|f(s) - f(t)|^\beta}{|s - t|^{\beta\gamma}} ds dt, \quad (8)$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(s) - f(t)| &\leq 8 \int_0^{|s-t|} \Psi^{-1}\left(\frac{4B}{\xi^2}\right) dp(\xi) \\
&= 8 \int_0^{|s-t|} \frac{(4B)^{1/\beta}}{\xi^{2/\beta}} dp(\xi) \\
&= 8\gamma(4B)^{1/\beta} \int_0^{|s-t|} \xi^{\gamma-1-2/\beta} d\xi \\
&= 8\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-2/\beta}(4B)^{1/\beta}|s-t|^{\gamma-2/\beta}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$. Consequently,

$$|f|_\alpha \leq 4^{1/\beta} 8\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} B^{1/\beta}.$$

Now fix $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and consider the i -th coordinate of M (denoted by M^i) instead of f . We define $B := B(M^i)$ in analogy to (8), and estimate the κ -th moment of $|M^i|_\alpha$ for $\kappa \geq \beta \vee 2$. Using Jensen's inequality and the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy (BDG) inequality, we conclude that there exists some constant $c_1 = c_1(\kappa) < \infty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[B^{\kappa/\beta}] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{|M_s^i - M_t^i|^\kappa}{|s-t|^{\kappa\gamma}} ds dt\right] \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\mathbb{E}[|M_s - M_t|^\kappa]}{|s-t|^{\kappa\gamma}} ds dt \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{c_1 \mathbb{E}[|\int_s^t |\sigma_u|^2 du|^{\kappa/2}]}{|s-t|^{\kappa\gamma}} ds dt \\
&= 2c_1 \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-\delta} \frac{\mathbb{E}[(\int_s^{s+\delta} |\sigma_u|^2 du)^{\kappa/2}]}{\delta^{\kappa\gamma}} ds d\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying again the Jensen inequality leads to

$$\mathbb{E}[B^{\kappa/\beta}] \leq 2c_1 \int_0^1 \delta^{-\kappa\gamma+\kappa/2} \int_0^{1-\delta} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^{s+\delta} \delta^{-1} |\sigma_u|^\kappa du\right] ds d\delta.$$

By elementary analysis, one can show that the inner two integrals satisfy, for $\delta \in (0, 1)$:

$$\int_0^{1-\delta} \int_s^{s+\delta} \delta^{-1} \mathbb{E}[|\sigma_u|^\kappa] du ds \leq \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[|\sigma_u|^\kappa] du.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}[B^{\kappa/\beta}] \leq 2c_1 \int_0^1 \delta^{-\kappa\gamma+\kappa/2} d\delta \cdot \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[|\sigma_u|^\kappa] du.$$

Thus if $-\kappa\gamma + \kappa/2 > -1$, then there exists a constant $c_2 < \infty$ depending on κ and γ with

$$\mathbb{E}[|M^\kappa|_\alpha] \leq c_2 \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[|\sigma_u|^\kappa] du. \quad (9)$$

Now we need to study for which $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exist appropriate values for β and γ admitting an estimate (9) with finite c_2 : β and γ need to satisfy

$$(i) \ \gamma - 2/\beta = \alpha, \ (ii) \ \kappa \geq \beta \vee 2 \text{ and } (iii) \ -\kappa\gamma + \kappa/2 > -1.$$

When choosing $\gamma \in (1/2, \infty)$, conditions (i) to (iii) are equivalent to

$$\kappa \geq \frac{2}{\gamma - \alpha} \vee 2 \text{ and } \kappa < \frac{1}{\gamma - 1/2}.$$

Elementary analysis implies the existence of an estimate like (9) for each

$$\kappa > \frac{2}{1 - 2\alpha}.$$

The general assertion of the lemma is obtained via applications of the triangle inequality. $\square$

Remark 3.2. The condition that $\kappa > 2/(1 - 2\alpha)$ is necessary for the validity of the lemma. If the condition is not satisfied, a counterexample is obtained as follows: fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ and let $\sigma_t := 1_{[0, \varepsilon]}(t)\varepsilon^{-1/\kappa}$ ($t \in [0, 1]$); then the right hand side of the inequality is equal to c , whereas $\mathbb{E}[|M|_\alpha^\kappa]$ tends to infinity when letting ε to zero.

4 Regularity of φ and its coding complexity

We assume again the setting of section 1. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $s := m + \alpha$. For $f \in \mathbb{C}^m[0, 1]$ we let

$$\|f\|_s := \|f\|_{[0, 1]} + |f^{(m)}|_\alpha$$

denote the s -th Hölder-Zygmund norm and denote by

$$C^s := \{f \in \mathbb{C}^m[0, 1] : \|f\|_s < \infty\}$$

the corresponding Banach space. Owing to Kolmogorov, the space C^s is compactly embedded into $\mathbb{C}[0, 1]$ and the corresponding metric entropy numbers satisfy

$$e_n(C^s, \mathbb{C}[0, 1]) \approx \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus Lemma 2.1 immediately implies:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\tilde{p} > p > 0$ and $s > 0$. There exists a constant $c = c(p, \tilde{p}, s)$ such that for all C^s -valued random variables Z and all $r \geq 0$*

$$D^{(q,0)}(r|Z, \|\cdot\|_{[0,1]}, p) \leq c \mathbb{E}[\|Z\|_s^{\tilde{p}}]^{1/\tilde{p}} \frac{1}{r^s + 1}.$$

We use this fact to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *For $p > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, \beta/2)$, there exists a constant $c < \infty$ such that*

$$D^{(q,0)}(r|\varphi, \|\cdot\|_{[0,1]}, p) \leq \frac{c}{r^{1+\alpha} + 1}.$$

Proof. Recall that $\varphi(t) = \int_0^t \sigma_s^2 ds$. Thus, in view of Lemma 4.1, it suffices to prove that for $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in (0, \beta/2)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\varphi\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} + \mathbb{E}[\sigma^2|_\alpha]^p]^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Note that by assumption (C):

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\sigma\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} \leq 2L + L \mathbb{E}[\|X\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} < \infty.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{E}[\|\varphi\|_{[0,1]}^p]$ is finite for all $p \geq 1$. It remains to consider $\mathbb{E}[\sigma^2|_\alpha]^p]$. By Theorem 3.1, it is true that for every $\alpha' \in (0, 1/2)$ and $p \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{E}[|M|_{\alpha'}^p] < \infty.$$

Moreover, again by assumption (C)

$$\mathbb{E}[|A|_{\alpha'}^p]^{1/p} \leq \mathbb{E}[|A|_1^p]^{1/p} \leq \mathbb{E}[|b|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} \leq 2L + L \mathbb{E}[\|X\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} < \infty$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|_{\alpha'}^p]^{1/p} \leq \mathbb{E}[|M|_{\alpha'}^p]^{1/p} + \mathbb{E}[|A|_{\alpha'}^p]^{1/p} < \infty. \quad (10)$$

Since in general $|\sigma^2|_\alpha \leq 2\|\sigma\|_{[0,1]}|\sigma|_\alpha$, one also has:

$$\mathbb{E}[(|\sigma^2|_\alpha)^p] \leq 2^p \mathbb{E}[\|\sigma\|_{[0,1]}^p |\sigma|_\alpha^p],$$

and due to the Cauchy Schwarz inequality it suffices to establish the finiteness of $\mathbb{E}[|\sigma|_\alpha^{2p}]$. By elementary analysis, we obtain that

$$|\sigma|_\alpha \leq L(|X|_{\alpha/\beta}^\beta + |X|_\alpha + 2),$$

so that (10) and the inequality $\alpha/\beta < 1/2$ imply that $\mathbb{E}[|\sigma|_\alpha^{2p}]$ is finite. $\square$

5 An estimate for $\mathbb{E}[\|W_{\varphi(\cdot)} - W_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)}\|_{[0,1]}^p]$

In the previous section we considered bounds for the quantization problem for the original φ in $\mathbb{C}[0,1]$. It remains to study the quantity $\mathbb{E}[\|W_{\varphi(\cdot)} - W_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)}\|_{[0,1]}^p]$ for “good” reconstructions $\hat{\varphi}$ of φ . The following analysis relies severely on concentration properties of Gaussian measures.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $T, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ with $\varepsilon_2 \geq \sqrt{2\varepsilon_1}$. We have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{\substack{\{s,t \in [0,T]: \\ |s-t| \leq \varepsilon_1\}}} |W_t - W_s| \leq 3\varepsilon_2\right) \geq \left(1 - 2e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_2^2}{2\varepsilon_1}}\right)^n,$$

where $n := \lceil T/\varepsilon_1 \rceil$.

Proof. Let $T, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ and n as in the lemma. Set $t_i = i\varepsilon_1, i = 0, \dots, n-1$, and $t_n = T$. It holds

$$\sup_{\substack{\{s,t \in [0,T]: \\ |s-t| \leq \varepsilon_1\}}} |W_t - W_s| \leq 3 \max_{i=0, \dots, n-1} \sup_{s \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]} |W_s - W_{t_i}|.$$

We denote $M_i = \sup_{s \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]} |W_s - W_{t_i}|, i = 0, \dots, n-1$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{\substack{\{s,t \in [0,T]: \\ |s-t| \leq \varepsilon_1\}}} |W_t - W_s| \leq 3\varepsilon_2\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{i=0, \dots, n-1} M_i \leq \varepsilon_2\right)$$

Note that the random variables $M_0, \dots, M_{n-1}$ are independent and, therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{i=0, \dots, n-1} M_i \leq \varepsilon_2\right) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}(M_i \leq \varepsilon_2).$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(M_i > \varepsilon_2) &\leq 2 \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]} (W_s - W_{t_i}) > \varepsilon_2\right) \\ &= 4 \mathbb{P}(W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i} > \varepsilon_2) \\ &= 4 \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t_{i+1} - t_i}} (W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}) > \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{t_{i+1} - t_i}}\right) \\ &= 4 \Psi\left(\frac{\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{t_{i+1} - t_i}}\right) \leq 2e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_2^2}{2(t_{i+1} - t_i)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi(t) := (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_t^\infty \exp\{-x^2/2\} dx$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). By assumption, the last term is less than 1 and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{i=0,\dots,n-1} M_i \leq \varepsilon_2\right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - 2e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_2^2}{2(t_{i+1}-t_i)}}\right) \geq \left(1 - 2e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_2^2}{2\varepsilon_1}}\right)^n.$$

□

Notice that $\mathbb{C}_0[0, T]$ equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\varepsilon_1, T} := \sup_{\substack{s, t \in [0, T]: \\ |s-t| \leq \varepsilon_1}} |f(t) - f(s)|$$

is a separable Banach space, say $C_{\varepsilon_1, T}$. Thus we can interpret $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ as a centered Gaussian random vector in this space. Let $m_{\varepsilon_1, T} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ denote the 7/8-quantile of $\|W\|_{\varepsilon_1, T}$. Using elementary analysis together with Lemma 5.1, we obtain:

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a constant $c < \infty$ such that for all $T, \varepsilon_1 > 0$ one has*

$$m_{\varepsilon_1, T} \leq \tilde{c} \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \left(1 + \log_+ \frac{T}{\varepsilon_1}\right)}.$$

Lemma 5.3. *For any $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $p > 0$, it holds*

$$\mathbb{E}[1_A \|W\|_{\varepsilon_1, T}^p]^{1/p} \leq 2\sqrt{2} m_{\varepsilon_1, T} \left[2 \int_{0 \vee \Psi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(A))}^\infty \frac{(x+1)^p}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} dx\right]^{1/p},$$

where $\Psi(t) := \int_t^\infty \sqrt{2\pi}^{-1} \exp\{-x^2/2\} dx$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$).

Proof. Due to Ledoux and Talagrand (1991) (p. 99) (see also Ledoux (1996), p. 202, 210) it holds

$$\sigma := \sup_{f \in C_{\varepsilon_1, T}^*, \|f\|_{C_{\varepsilon_1, T}^*} \leq 1} \mathbb{E}[f^2(W)]^{1/2} \leq 2\sqrt{2} m_{\varepsilon_1, T},$$

where $C_{\varepsilon_1, T}^*$ is the topological dual of $C_{\varepsilon_1, T}$. As a consequence of the isoperimetric inequality, one obtains

$$\mathbb{P}(\|W\|_{\varepsilon_1, T} \geq m_{\varepsilon_1, T} + t\sigma) \leq \Psi(t) \quad (t \geq 0).$$

Therefore, we can find a standard normal random variable N on a sufficiently large probability space such that

$$\|W\|_{\varepsilon_1, T} \leq 2\sqrt{2} m_{\varepsilon_1, T} [1 + N^+],$$

where $N^+ = N \vee 0$. Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}[1_A \|W\|_{\varepsilon_1, T}^p]^{1/p} \leq 2\sqrt{2} m_{\varepsilon_1, T} \mathbb{E}[1_A (N^+ + 1)^p]^{1/p}.$$

□

Lemma 5.4. *For $p > 0$ there exists a constant $c = c(p)$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $T, \varepsilon_1 > 0$ one has*

$$\mathbb{E}[1_A \|W\|_{\varepsilon_1, T}^p]^{1/p} \leq c \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \left(1 + \log_+ \frac{T}{\varepsilon_1}\right)} q \sqrt{1 + \log(1/q)}^{p-1},$$

where $q := \mathbb{P}(A)$.

Proof. By elementary analysis one obtains

$$\int_x^\infty (y+1)^p e^{-y^2/2} dy \sim x^{p-1} \Psi(x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

and thus

$$\int_{\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon)}^\infty (y+1)^p e^{-y^2/2} dy \sim \varepsilon \Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon)^{p-1} \sim \varepsilon \sqrt{2 \log(1/\varepsilon)}^{p-1}, \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0.$$

Consequently, there exists some constant $c_1 = c_1(p) < \infty$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\int_{0 \vee \Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon)}^\infty (y+1)^p e^{-y^2/2} dy \leq c_1 \varepsilon \sqrt{1 + \log(1/\varepsilon)}^{p-1}$$

An application of the previous two lemmas yields

$$\mathbb{E}[1_A \|W\|_{\varepsilon_1, T}^p]^{1/p} \leq \kappa_p'' \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \left(1 + \log_+ \frac{T}{\varepsilon_1}\right)} q \sqrt{1 + \log(1/q)}^{p-1},$$

where $q := \mathbb{P}(A)$ and $c_2 = c_2(p)$ is a constant only depending on p . □

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose that $\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}$ ($r \geq 0$) are reconstructions for φ such that*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\|\varphi - \hat{\varphi}^{(r)}\|_{[0,1]}^2]^{1/2} = 0.$$

Then for any $p \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|W_{\varphi(\cdot)} - W_{\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(\cdot)}\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} = \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{d(r) \log(1/d(r))}\right),$$

where $d(r) = \mathbb{E}[\|\varphi - \hat{\varphi}^{(r)}\|_{[0,1]}^2]^{1/2}$.

Proof. Consider the r.v.'s $\varepsilon_1 := \varepsilon_1(r) := \|\varphi - \hat{\varphi}^{(r)}\|_{[0,1]}$ and $\tau := \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \varphi(t)$. Notice that

$$\|W_{\varphi(\cdot)} - W_{\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(\cdot)}\|_{[0,1]} \leq \|W\|_{\varepsilon_1, \tau + \varepsilon_1}.$$

Let now $\mathbb{I} := \{e^i : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$,

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_1 := \bar{\varepsilon}_1(r) := \min([\varepsilon_1, \infty) \cap \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_1^2]^{1/2} \mathbb{I}) \text{ and } \bar{\tau} := \bar{\tau}(r) := \min([\tau, \infty) \cap \mathbb{I}).$$

$\bar{\varepsilon}_1$ and $\bar{\tau}$ are discrete r.v.'s dominating ε_1 and τ and satisfying

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \leq e\varepsilon_1 + \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_1^2]^{1/2} \text{ and } \bar{\tau} \leq e\tau + 1. \quad (11)$$

Denote by $(p_{\varepsilon,t})$ the probability weights of $(\bar{\varepsilon}_1, \bar{\tau})$. Then the triangle inequality and Lemma 5.4 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|W\|_{\varepsilon_1, \tau + \varepsilon_1}^p]^{1/p} &\leq \mathbb{E}[\|W\|_{\bar{\varepsilon}_1, \bar{\tau} + \bar{\varepsilon}_1}^p]^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sum_{\varepsilon, t} \mathbb{E}[1_{\{(\varepsilon_1, \tau) = (\varepsilon, t)\}} \|W\|_{\varepsilon, t + \varepsilon}^p]^{1/p} \\ &\leq c_1 \mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{\bar{\varepsilon}_1(1 + \log(1 + \frac{\bar{\tau}}{\bar{\varepsilon}_1}))} \sqrt{1 + \log(1/p_{\bar{\varepsilon}_1, \bar{\tau}})}^{p-1}\right] \\ &\leq c_1 \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{\varepsilon}_1(1 + \log(1 + \frac{\bar{\tau}}{\bar{\varepsilon}_1}))\right]^{1/2} \mathbb{E}\left[(1 + \log(1/p_{\bar{\varepsilon}_1, \bar{\tau}}))^{p-1}\right]^{1/2} \\ &=: c_1 \Sigma_1 \cdot \Sigma_2 \end{aligned}$$

for some appropriate constant $c_1 = c_1(p)$. Notice that the second term is dominated by

$$\Sigma_2 \leq c_2 (H^{p-1}(\bar{\varepsilon}_1, \bar{\tau})^{1/2} + 1),$$

where $c_2 = c_2(p) < \infty$ is a universal constant and H^{p-1} denotes the generalized entropy

$$H^{p-1}(\bar{\varepsilon}_1, \bar{\tau}) := \sum_{\varepsilon, t} p_{\varepsilon, t} (\log(1/p_{\varepsilon, t}))^{p-1}.$$

Now choose $\varepsilon = \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_1^2]^{1/2} e^i$ and $t = e^j$ ($i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$). If $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, one obtains with (11) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\varepsilon, t} &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \bar{\tau}]}{\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_1^2]^{1/2} e^{i+j-2}} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[(e\varepsilon_1 + \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_1^2]^{1/2})(\tau + 1)]}{\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_1^2]^{1/2} e^{i+j-2}} \\ &\leq \frac{(e+1) \mathbb{E}[(\tau + 1)^2]^{1/2}}{e^{i+j-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $i = 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$p_{\varepsilon,t} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\bar{\tau}]}{e^{j-1}} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[(\tau+1)]}{e^{j-1}} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[(\tau+1)^2]^{1/2}}{e^{j-1}},$$

whereas for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j = 0$, one obtains

$$p_{\varepsilon,t} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\bar{\varepsilon}_1]}{\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_1^2]^{1/2} e^{i-1}} \leq \frac{1}{e^{i-1}}.$$

Note that the above estimates for $p_{\varepsilon,t}$ do not depend on the rate $r \geq 0$ and decrease sufficiently fast to zero in order to provide the finiteness of $H^{p-1}(\bar{\varepsilon}_1, \bar{\tau})$. Therefore, $H^{p-1}(\bar{\varepsilon}_1, \bar{\tau})$ is uniformly bounded for all $r \geq 0$ by some constant $c_3 < \infty$ depending only on $\mathbb{E}[(\tau+1)^2]^{1/2}$. Consequently, Σ_2 is uniformly bounded.

It remains to consider the first expression Σ_1 . Using (11) and the inequality $\bar{\tau} \geq 1$ we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left[\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \left(1 + \log \left(1 + \frac{\bar{\tau}}{\bar{\varepsilon}_1} \right) \right) \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \left(1 + \log \bar{\tau} + \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}_1} \right) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[(e\varepsilon_1 + \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_1^2]^{1/2}) \left(1 + \log(1 + e\tau) + \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{e\varepsilon_1 + \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_1^2]^{1/2}} \right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

An application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality then yields that

$$\Sigma_1^2 = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_1^2]^{1/2} \log(1/\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_1^2]^{1/2}))$$

and the assertion follows. $\square$

6 Coding $(W_t)_{t \in [0, \tau]}$

We need some more notations. For $f \in \mathbb{C}_0([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$, let

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} := \begin{cases} \left\| \frac{df}{dt} \right\|_{L^2[0, \infty)} & \text{if } f \text{ is weakly differentiable} \\ \infty & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for $T > 0$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, let $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_T} = \left\| \frac{df}{dt} \right\|_{L^2[0, T]}$ if f is weakly differentiable on $[0, T]$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_T} = \infty$, otherwise. The corresponding Hilbert spaces are denoted by $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_T$.

We recall some results of the theory of enlargements of filtrations (see Jeulin and Yor (1985), Ankirchner et al. (2004)). Let $(\mathcal{F}_t^W)$ be the filtration generated by the Wiener process (W_t) and denote by Z a discrete random

variable with probability weights (p_x) . We consider the enlarged filtration $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \sigma(Z)$ ($t \geq 0$) and assume that for some fixed $p \geq 1$ the generalized entropy

$$H^p(Z) := \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\log \frac{1}{p_Z} \right)^p \right]$$

is finite. Then the process (W_t) is a $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -semimartingale, and its Doob-Meyer decomposition $W_t = \bar{W}_t + \bar{A}_t$ comprises a $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -Wiener process $(\bar{W}_t)$ and a process of bounded variation $(\bar{A}_t)$ satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{A}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2p}] \leq \kappa_p (H^p(Z) + 1). \quad (12)$$

Here, the constant κ_p depends only on p .

We recall that $\mathcal{H}_1$ is compactly embedded into $\mathbb{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$ and that its entropy numbers satisfy

$$e_n(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathbb{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)) \approx \frac{1}{n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\tilde{p} > p > 0$. There exists a constant $c = c(p, \tilde{p})$ such that*

$$D^{(q,0)}(r|Y, \|\cdot\|_{[0,T]}, p) \leq c \sqrt{T} \mathbb{E}[\|Y\|_{\mathcal{H}_T}^{\tilde{p}}]^{1/\tilde{p}} \frac{1}{r+1}$$

for all $T > 0$, $r \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{H}_T$ -valued r.v. Y .

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, the statement holds for fixed time $T = 1$ for an appropriate constant $c > 0$. Notice that for $T > 0$ the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_T^{(1)} : \mathcal{H}_T &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1, f \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} f(T \cdot) \quad \text{and} \\ \pi_T^{(2)} : \mathbb{C}[0, T] &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[0, 1], f \mapsto f(T \cdot) \end{aligned}$$

are isometric isomorphisms. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(q)}(r|Y, \|\cdot\|_{[0,T]}, p) &= D^{(q)}(r|\pi_T^{(2)}(Y), \|\cdot\|_{[0,1]}, p) \\ &\leq c \mathbb{E}[\|\pi_T^{(2)}(Y)\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}^{\tilde{p}}]^{1/\tilde{p}} \frac{1}{r+1} \\ &= c \mathbb{E}[\|\sqrt{T} \pi_T^{(1)}(Y)\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}^{\tilde{p}}]^{1/\tilde{p}} \frac{1}{r+1} \\ &= c \sqrt{T} \mathbb{E}[\|Y\|_{\mathcal{H}_T}^{\tilde{p}}]^{1/\tilde{p}} \frac{1}{r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.2. *For any $p \geq 1$, there exists a constant $c < \infty$ such that*

$$D^{(q)}(r|\bar{A}, \|\cdot\|_{[0,\tau]}, p) \leq c(H^p(Z) + 1)^{1/2p} \left[\sqrt{T} \frac{1}{r} + \mathbb{P}(\tau > T)^{1/4p} \mathbb{E}[\tau^{2p}]^{1/4p} \right]$$

for all $T \geq 0$, $r > 0$, all $[0, \infty)$ -valued r.v.'s τ and all side informations Z .

Proof. Fix $T > 0$ and $r \geq 0$. The previous lemma and equation (12) imply

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(q,0)}(r|\bar{A}, \|\cdot\|_{[0,T]}, p) &\leq c_1 \sqrt{T} \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{A}\|^{2p}]^{1/2p} \frac{1}{r+1} \\ &\leq c_1 \kappa_p^{1/2p} \sqrt{T} (H^p(Z) + 1)^{1/2p} \frac{1}{r+1} \\ &= \frac{c_2}{2} \sqrt{T} (H^p(Z) + 1)^{1/2p} \frac{1}{r+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for some appropriate constants c_1, c_2 depending only on p . Consequently, there exists a codebook $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{C}[0, \infty)$ of size $\lfloor e^r \rfloor$ which contains 0 and satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\min_{\hat{a} \in \mathcal{C}} \|\bar{A} - \hat{a}\|_{[0,T]}^p]^{1/p} \leq c_1 \sqrt{T} (H^p(Z) + 1)^{1/2p} \frac{1}{r+1}. \quad (13)$$

Let $\hat{A}$ now denote an $\|\cdot\|_{[0,\tau]}$ -optimal reconstruction for $\bar{A}$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{A} - \hat{A}\|_{[0,\tau]}^p]^{1/p} &\leq \mathbb{E}[1_{\{\tau \leq T\}} \|\bar{A} - \hat{A}\|_{[0,\tau]}^p]^{1/p} + \mathbb{E}[1_{\{\tau > T\}} \|\bar{A}\|_{[0,\tau]}^p]^{1/p} \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from equation (13) that

$$I_1 \leq c_1 \sqrt{T} (H^p(Z) + 1)^{1/2p} \frac{1}{r+1}.$$

Moreover, the second term satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \mathbb{E}[1_{\{\tau > T\}} \sqrt{\tau}^p \|\bar{A}\|_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}^p]^{1/p} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[1_{\{\tau > T\}} \tau^p]^{1/2p} \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{A}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2p}]^{1/2p} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\tau > T)^{1/4p} \mathbb{E}[\tau^{2p}]^{1/4p} \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{A}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2p}]^{1/2p} \\ &\leq \kappa_p^{1/2p} \mathbb{P}(\tau > T)^{1/4p} \mathbb{E}[\tau^{2p}]^{1/4p} (H^p(Z) + 1)^{1/2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting everything together yields the assertion. $\square$

Let now $Z^{(r)}$ denote some side information depending on the rate $r \geq 0$ and let $W = \bar{W}^{(r)} + \bar{A}^{(r)}$ be the corresponding $(\mathcal{G}_t)$ -Doob-Meyer decomposition. Moreover, $\tau(r)$ ($r \geq 0$) denotes a random time in $[0, \infty)$. We will need

Lemma 6.3. *Let $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. Suppose that for any $q < \infty$*

$$\sup_{r \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[\tau(r)^q] < \infty$$

and

$$H^p(Z^{(r)})^{1/2p} = \mathcal{O}(r^\alpha), \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (14)$$

Then for any $\eta > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$

$$D^{(q)}(r^\eta | \bar{A}^{(r)}, \|\cdot\|_{[0, \tau(r)]}, p) = \mathcal{O}(r^{\alpha - \eta + \epsilon}), \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

In particular, assumption (14) is fulfilled if the random variables $Z^{(r)}$ have finite range and satisfy

$$\log |\text{range}(Z^{(r)})| = \mathcal{O}(r^{2\alpha}). \quad (15)$$

Proof. The first statement is a consequence of the previous lemma. In fact, for $\epsilon \in (0, \eta)$, $r \geq 0$ and $T = T(r) = r^{2\epsilon}$ one has

$$H^p(Z^{(r)})^{1/2p} \sqrt{T} \frac{1}{r^\eta} = \mathcal{O}(r^{\alpha - \eta + \epsilon}).$$

Next, we set $q := 2p \frac{\eta - \epsilon}{\epsilon}$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & H^p(Z^{(r)})^{1/2p} \mathbb{P}(\tau(r) > T)^{1/4p} \mathbb{E}[\tau(r)^{2p}]^{1/4p} \\ & \leq H^p(Z^{(r)})^{1/2p} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}[\tau(r)^q]}{T^q} \right)^{1/4p} \mathbb{E}[\tau(r)^{2p}]^{1/4p} \\ & = H^p(Z^{(r)})^{1/2p} \mathbb{E}[\tau(r)^q]^{1/4p} \mathbb{E}[\tau(r)^{2p}]^{1/4p} r^{-(\eta - \epsilon)} \\ & = \mathcal{O}(r^{\alpha - \eta + \epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that (15) is a sufficient criterion for (14). Notice that for $p > 1$, the map $x \mapsto (\log x)^p$ is not concave on the domain $[1, \infty)$. However, we can choose $c_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$f : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), x \mapsto (\log x)^p + c_1 \log x$$

is concave. Denote by (q_x) the probability weights of some discrete r.v. Z . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(Z) &= \sum_x q_x (\log(1/q_x))^p = \mathbb{E}[(\log(1/q_Z))^p] \leq \mathbb{E}[f(1/q_Z)] \\ &\leq f(\mathbb{E}[1/q_Z]) \leq f(|\text{range}(Z)|). \end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows immediately. $\square$

7 Main representation of the diffusion

In this section we derive a representation of the diffusion which allows us to relate the coding complexity of the diffusion process to that of the Wiener process. This will lead to the main theorems.

Theorem 7.1. *Fix $p \geq 1$. There exist $\mathbb{C}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued r.v.'s $\bar{X}^{(r)}$, $\hat{X}^{(r)}$ and $\bar{W}^{(r)}$, as well as a $\mathbb{C}[0, \infty)$ -valued r.v. $\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}$ ($r \geq 0$) such that*

- $\exists \gamma \in (0, 1)$ s.th. $\log |\text{range}(\hat{X}^{(r)}, \hat{\varphi}^{(r)})| = \mathcal{O}(r^\gamma)$,
- $\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}$ is a regular reconstruction for φ with $\mathbb{E}[\|\varphi - \hat{\varphi}^{(r)}\|_{[0,1]}] \rightarrow 0$
- $X = \bar{X}^{(r)} + \bar{W}_{\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(\cdot)}^{(r)}$,
- $\bar{W}^{(r)}$ is a Wiener process that is independent of $\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}$
- $\exists \delta > 0$ s.th. $\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{X}^{(r)} - \hat{X}^{(r)}\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof.

1.) We let $\beta > 0$ as in assumption (C) and set $\alpha := \beta/4$, $\delta_1 := \alpha/6$ and $\gamma_1 := (1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha)/(1 + \alpha) < 1$. Due to Lemma 4.2, there exists some constant $c_1 < \infty$ such that φ admits $\mathbb{C}[0, 1]$ -valued regular reconstructions $\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}$ ($r \geq 0$) satisfying

$$|\text{range}(\hat{\varphi}^{(r)})| \leq \exp\{r^{\gamma_1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[\|\varphi - \hat{\varphi}^{(r)}\|_{[0,1]}^2]^{1/2} \leq c_1 \frac{1}{1 + r^{1+3\delta_1}} \quad (16)$$

for all $r \geq 0$. If $\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}$ is not monotonically increasing, we replace $\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}$ by the monotone function $t \mapsto \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(s)$, and condition (16) remains valid. By Lemma 5.5, it follows

$$\mathbb{E}[\|W_{\varphi(\cdot)} - W_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)}\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta_1}). \quad (17)$$

2.) Let $W = \bar{W}^{(r)} + \bar{A}^{(r)}$ be the Doob-Meyer decomposition of W under the side information $\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}$. We want to apply Lemma 6.3: Let $\tau(r) := \hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(1)$. Then for any $\tilde{p} \in [1, \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\tau(r)^{\tilde{p}}] &= \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(1))^{\tilde{p}}] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}\|_{[0,1]}^{\tilde{p}}] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[(\|\hat{\varphi}^{(r)} - \varphi\|_{[0,1]} + \|\varphi\|_{[0,1]})^{\tilde{p}}]. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the regularity of $\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}$ it holds $\|\varphi - \hat{\varphi}^{(r)}\|_{[0,1]} \leq \|\varphi\|_{[0,1]}$ and, hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau(r)^{\tilde{p}}]^{1/\tilde{p}} \leq 2 \mathbb{E}[\|\varphi\|_{[0,1]}^{\tilde{p}}]^{1/\tilde{p}} \leq c_2 < \infty$$

is uniformly bounded for all $r \geq 0$. Recall that $|\text{range}(\hat{\varphi}^{(r)})| \leq \exp\{r^{\gamma_1}\}$. Moreover, for $\gamma_2 := (3 + \gamma_1)/4 < 1$ it holds

$$\gamma_1/2 - \gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1 - \gamma_1}{4} < -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1 - \gamma_1}{5} =: -\frac{1}{2} - \delta_2.$$

Therefore Lemma 6.3 implies that

$$D^{(q)}(r^{\gamma_2}|\bar{A}^{(r)}, \|\cdot\|_{[0,\tau(r)]}, p) = \mathcal{O}(r^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta_2}),$$

and there exist a constant $c_3 < \infty$ and continuous reconstructions $\hat{\hat{A}}^{(r)}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{A}^{(r)} - \hat{\hat{A}}^{(r)}\|_{[0,\tau(r)]}^p]^{1/p} \leq c_3 r^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \log |\text{range}(\hat{\hat{A}}^{(r)})| \leq r^{\gamma_2}. \quad (18)$$

Finally notice that by Lemma 6.1, there exists a constant $c_4 < \infty$ and reconstructions $\hat{A}^{(r)}$ for A such that for all $r \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{E}[\|A - \hat{A}^{(r)}\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} \leq c_4 \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta_3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \log |\text{range}(\hat{A}^{(r)})| \leq r^{\gamma_3}, \quad (19)$$

where $\delta_3 := \frac{1}{6}$ and $\gamma_3 = \frac{2}{3}$.

3.) We rewrite X in terms of the new r.v.'s:

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= A_t + M_t = A_t + W_{\varphi(t)} = A_t + (W_{\varphi(t)} - W_{\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(t)}) + W_{\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(t)} \\ &= A_t + \underbrace{(W_{\varphi(t)} - W_{\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(t)}) + \bar{A}_{\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(t)}^{(r)}}_{=: \bar{X}_t^{(r)}} + \bar{W}_{\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(t)}^{(r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to (17), (18) and (19) it follows that the process $\hat{\hat{X}}_t^{(r)} := \hat{A}_t^{(r)} + \hat{\hat{A}}_{\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(t)}^{(r)}$ satisfies for $\delta := \min(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) > 0$ and $\gamma = \max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{X}^{(r)} - \hat{\hat{X}}^{(r)}\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} &\leq \mathbb{E}[\|A - \hat{A}^{(r)}\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} + \mathbb{E}[\|W_{\varphi(\cdot)} - W_{\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(\cdot)}\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{A}_{\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(\cdot)}^{(r)} - \hat{\hat{A}}_{\hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(\cdot)}^{(r)}\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\log |\text{range}(\hat{\hat{X}}^{(r)}, \hat{\varphi}^{(r)})| \leq r^{\gamma_1} + r^{\gamma_2} + r^{\gamma_3} = \mathcal{O}(r^\gamma).$$

□

8 The quantization complexity of X in $\mathbb{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.2. Fix $p \geq 1$ arbitrary and let $K \in [\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{8}}, \pi]$ such that

$$D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{[0,1]}, p) \sim K \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}, \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (20)$$

Lemma 8.1.

$$D^{(q)}(r|X, \|\cdot\|_{[0,1]}, p) \lesssim K \mathbb{E}[\|\sigma\|_{L^2[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

Proof. Let $\bar{X} = \bar{X}^{(r)}$, $\hat{\bar{X}} = \hat{\bar{X}}^{(r)}$, $\hat{\varphi} = \hat{\varphi}^{(r)}$ and $\bar{W} = \bar{W}^{(r)}$ be as in Theorem 7.1, and let $\tau = \hat{\varphi}(1)$. There exists a discrete r.v. $\hat{W}$ such that, conditional upon $\hat{\varphi}$, the random law $\mathcal{L}(\hat{W}|\hat{\varphi})$ is supported on a set of size $\lfloor e^r \rfloor$ and

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{W} - \hat{W}\|_{[0,\tau]}^p | \hat{\varphi}] = \sqrt{\tau}^p D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{[0,1]}, p)^p.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{W} - \hat{W}\|_{[0,\tau]}^p]^{1/p} = \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{\tau}^p]^{1/p} D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{[0,1]}, p).$$

Notice that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{\tau}^p]^{1/p} = \mathbb{E}[\|\sigma\|_{L^2[0,1]}^p]^{1/p}$. As a reconstruction, we choose $\hat{X} := \hat{X}^{(r)} := \hat{\bar{X}} + \hat{W}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|X - \hat{X}\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} &\leq \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{X} - \hat{\bar{X}}\|_{[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} + \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{W} - \hat{W}\|_{[0,\tau]}^p]^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim \mathbb{E}[\|\sigma\|_{L^2[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} K \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\hat{X}$ has range of size $e^{(1+o(1))r}$. □

Now we turn to the proof of the converse inequality:

Lemma 8.2.

$$D^{(q)}(r|X, \|\cdot\|_{[0,1]}, p) \gtrsim K \mathbb{E}[\|\sigma\|_{L^2[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

Proof. Let $\bar{X}$, $\hat{\bar{X}}$, $\hat{\varphi}$ and $\bar{W}$ be as in Theorem 7.1. Denote by $\hat{X} = \hat{X}^{(r)}$ an arbitrary reconstruction for X that has range of size $\lfloor e^r \rfloor$. Let

$$\hat{W}_t := \hat{W}_t^{(r)} := \hat{X}_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(t)} - \hat{\bar{X}}_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(t)} \quad (t \in [0, \tau]),$$

where $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(t) := \inf\{s \geq 0 : \hat{\varphi}(s) \geq t\}$. Since $\bar{W}_t = X_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(t)} - \bar{X}_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(t)}$ for $t \in [0, \tau]$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{W} - \hat{W}\|_{[0, \tau]}^p]^{1/p} &\leq \mathbb{E}[\|X_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\cdot)} - \hat{X}_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\cdot)}\|_{[0, \tau]}^p]^{1/p} + \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{X}_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\cdot)} - \hat{\hat{X}}_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\cdot)}\|_{[0, \tau]}^p]^{1/p} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[\|X - \hat{X}\|_{[0, 1]}^p]^{1/p} + \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{X} - \hat{\hat{X}}\|_{[0, 1]}^p]^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

On the other hand, the random law $\mathcal{L}(\bar{W}|\hat{\varphi})$ is supported on a set of size $|\text{range}(\hat{\hat{X}})| \cdot \lfloor e^r \rfloor = \exp\{r + \mathcal{O}(r^\gamma)\}$ for some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, and given $\hat{\varphi}$ the process $\bar{W}$ is a Wiener process. Therefore, $\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{W} - \hat{W}\|_{[0, \tau]}^p|\hat{\varphi}] \geq K^p \sqrt{\tau}^p (1 - o(1))/\sqrt{r}^p$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Here, the $o(1)$ -term depends only on r but not on the realization of $\hat{\varphi}$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{W} - \hat{W}\|_{[0, \tau]}^p]^{1/p} &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{W} - \hat{W}\|_{[0, \tau]}^p|\hat{\varphi}]]^{1/p} \\ &\gtrsim \mathbb{E}\left[K^p \sqrt{\tau}^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}^p}\right]^{1/p} = K \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{\tau}^p]^{1/p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{\tau}^p]^{1/p} = \mathbb{E}[\|\sigma\|_{L^2[0, 1]}^p]^{1/p}$ and, hence, (21) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|X - \hat{X}\|_{[0, 1]}^p]^{1/p} &\geq (1 - o(1)) K \mathbb{E}[\|\sigma\|_{L^2[0, 1]}^p]^{1/p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} - \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{X} - \hat{\hat{X}}\|_{[0, 1]}^p]^{1/p} \\ &\sim K \mathbb{E}[\|\sigma\|_{L^2[0, 1]}^p]^{1/p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

9 Coding complexity of W in general $L^p(\nu)$ -spaces

Let $p, q \geq 1$, $T > 0$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{M}[0, T]$, where $\mathcal{M}[0, T]$ denotes the set of finite positive measures on the Borel sets of $[0, T]$. The objective of this section is to provide an upper estimate for $D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{L^q(\nu)}, p)$.

Lemma 9.1. *Let $p \geq q \geq 1$. There exists a constant $c = c(p)$ such that for all $r, \Delta r, T \geq 0$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{M}([0, T])$*

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(q)}(r + \Delta r|W, \|\cdot\|_{L^q(\nu)}, p) \\ \leq \nu[0, T]^{1/q} \sqrt{T} [D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{L^q[0, 1]}, p) + c e^{-\Delta r/2d}]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lemma uses a simple consequence of Dereich (2003), Proposition 6.3.4 (see also Corollary 6.7 in Graf and Luschgy (2000)):

Proposition 9.2. *Let $\tilde{p} > p \geq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a constant $c < \infty$ such that for all $\mathbb{R}^m$ -valued random variables Z and all $r \geq 0$,*

$$D^{(q)}(r|Z, |\cdot|, p) \leq c \mathbb{E}[|Z|^{\tilde{p}}]^{1/\tilde{p}} e^{-r/m}.$$

Proof of Lemma 9.1. First consider the case where ν is a probability measure on $[0, T)$. For $t \in [0, T)$ let

$$\theta_t : [0, T) \rightarrow [0, T) := s + t \bmod T$$

and let $\nu_t := \nu \circ \theta_t^{-1}$ denote the measure induced by the map θ_t . Now let $\hat{W}$ be a r.v. attaining at most e^r different values with

$$\mathbb{E}[\|W - \hat{W}\|_{L^q[0, T)}^p]^{1/p} = D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{L^q[0, T)}, p).$$

Using the Jensen inequality we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{[0, T)} |W_u - \hat{W}_u|^q d\nu_t(u) \right)^{p/q} \right] \frac{dt}{T} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T \int_{[0, T)} |W_u - \hat{W}_u|^q d\nu_t(u) \frac{dt}{T} \right)^{p/q} \right] \\ & = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T |W_u - \hat{W}_u|^q \frac{du}{T} \right)^{p/q} \right] = \frac{1}{T^{p/q}} D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{L^q[0, T)}, p)^p \end{aligned}$$

In particular, there exists $t \in [0, T)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|W_{\theta_t(\cdot)} - \hat{W}_{\theta_t(\cdot)}\|_{L^q(\nu)}^p]^{1/p} &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{[0, T)} |W_u - \hat{W}_u|^q d\nu_t(u) \right)^{p/q} \right]^{1/q} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T^{1/q}} D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{L^q[0, T)}, p). \end{aligned}$$

Using that $D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{L^q[0, T)}, p) = T^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{q}} D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{L^q[0, 1)}, p)$ one obtains

$$\mathbb{E}[\|W_{\theta_t(\cdot)} - \hat{W}_{\theta_t(\cdot)}\|_{L^q(\nu)}^p]^{1/p} \leq \sqrt{T} D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{L^q[0, 1)}, p).$$

Now let for $s \in [0, T)$

$$W'_s := \begin{cases} W_{\theta_t(s)} - W_t & \text{if } s + t < T \\ W_{\theta_t(s)} + W_1 - W_t & \text{if } s + t \geq T. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $(W'_s)_{s \in [0, T]}$ is a standard d -dimensional Wiener process. We consider reconstructions $(\hat{W}'_s)$ of the form

$$\hat{W}'_s := \begin{cases} \hat{W}_{\theta_t(s)} + A_1 & \text{if } s + t < T \\ \hat{W}_{\theta_t(s)} + A_2 & \text{if } s + t \geq T, \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

where A_1 and A_2 denote $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued r.v.'s which still need to be fixed appropriately. Then the coding error can be controlled by

$$\|W' - \hat{W}'\|_{L^q(\nu)} \leq \|W_{\theta_t(\cdot)} - \hat{W}_{\theta_t(\cdot)}\|_{L^q(\nu)} + \left| \begin{pmatrix} -W_t - A_1 \\ W_1 - W_t - A_2 \end{pmatrix} \right|. \quad (23)$$

Set $Z := (-W_t, W_1 - W_t)^t$. Clearly, $\mathbb{E}[|Z|^{2p}]$ is finite and due to Proposition 9.2 there exists a constant $c_1 = c_1(p) < \infty$ only depending on $p \geq 1$ such that for all $\Delta r \geq 0$

$$D^{(q)}(\Delta r | Z, |\cdot|, p) \leq c_1 \mathbb{E}[|Z|^{2p}]^{1/2p} e^{-\Delta r/2d}.$$

Notice that $\mathbb{E}[|Z|^{2p}]^{1/2p} \leq c_2 \sqrt{T}$ for some constant $c_2 = c_2(p) < \infty$ only depending on p . Choose now A_1, A_2 such that the random variable (A_1, A_2) has range of size $e^{\Delta r}$ and satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \begin{pmatrix} -W_t - A_1 \\ W_1 - W_t - A_2 \end{pmatrix} \right|^p \right]^{1/p} \leq c \sqrt{T} e^{-\Delta r/2d},$$

where $c := 2 c_1 c_2$. Then $\hat{W}'$ as defined in (22) has range of size $e^{r+\Delta r}$ and by (23) it holds

$$\mathbb{E}[\|W' - \hat{W}'\|_{L^q(\nu)}^p]^{1/p} \leq \sqrt{T} D^{(q)}(r | W, \|\cdot\|_{L^q[0,1]}, p) + c \sqrt{T} e^{-\Delta r/2d}.$$

For a general finite measure $\nu \in \mathcal{M}[0, T]$ there exists a probability measure $\nu_1 \in \mathcal{M}[0, T]$ such that $\nu = \nu[0, T] \cdot \nu_1$, and one has

$$\|W' - \hat{W}'\|_{L^q(\nu)} = \nu[0, T]^{1/q} \|W' - \hat{W}'\|_{L^q(\nu_1)}.$$

The assertion follows immediately. $\square$

10 The quantization complexity of X in $L^p[0, 1]$

For fixed $p \in [0, \infty)$, we consider asymptotic upper bounds for

$$D^{(q)}(r | X, \|\cdot\|_{L^p[0,1]}, p).$$

We denote by $K = K(p) < \infty$ a constant with the property that

$$D^{(q)}(r|W, \|\cdot\|_{L^p[0,1]}, p) \lesssim K \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}, \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (24)$$

Due to Dereich et al. (2003) such a constant exists.

Theorem 10.1.

$$D^{(q)}(r|X, \|\cdot\|_{L^p[0,1]}, p) \lesssim K \mathbb{E}[\|\sigma\|_{L^{2p/(p+2)}[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}. \quad (25)$$

Proof. Let $\bar{X} = \bar{X}^{(r)}$, $\hat{\bar{X}} = \hat{\bar{X}}^{(r)}$, $\hat{\varphi} = \hat{\varphi}^{(r)}$ and $\bar{W} = \bar{W}^{(r)}$ be as in Theorem 7.1. Recall that the time change $\hat{\varphi} = \hat{\varphi}^{(r)}$ and the Wiener process $\bar{W} = \bar{W}^{(r)}$ are independent. We fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote $\tau_i := \tau_i(r) := \hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(i/n)$ ($i = 0, \dots, n$) and $\tau := \tau(r) := \hat{\varphi}^{(r)}(1)$. Moreover, let for $t \in [\tau_{i-1}, \tau_i)$,

$$W'_t := \bar{W}_t - \bar{W}_{\tau_{i-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad W'' = \bar{W}_{\tau_{i-1}}.$$

Clearly, $\bar{W} = W' + W''$. We define reconstructions for W' and W'' separately. In the following, let $\Delta r = \sqrt{r}$. Set $Y := (W_{\tau_1}, \dots, W_{\tau_n})^t$. Assume first that (τ_i) is deterministic. Then one has

$$D^{(q)}(\Delta r|W'', \|\cdot\|_{[0,\tau]}, p) \leq D^{(q)}(\Delta r|Y, |\cdot|, p).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 9.1 we conclude that there exists a universal constant $c_1 = c_1(p) < \infty$ such that

$$D^{(q)}(\Delta r|Y, |\cdot|, p) \leq \frac{c_1}{2} \sqrt{\tau} e^{-\Delta r/nd}.$$

Now let (τ_i) be random as before. Since W'' is independent of $\hat{\varphi}$ there exists a discrete r.v. $\hat{W}''$ such that conditional upon $\hat{\varphi}$, $\hat{W}''$ has range of size $\lfloor e^{\Delta r} \rfloor$ and it holds

$$\mathbb{E}[\|W'' - \hat{W}''\|_{[0,\tau]}^p | \hat{\varphi}]^{1/p} \leq c_1 \sqrt{\tau} e^{-\Delta r/nd}.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|W'' - \hat{W}''\|_{[0,\tau]}^p]^{1/p} \leq c_1 \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{\tau}^p]^{1/p} e^{-\Delta r/nd}.$$

Clearly, this expression is of order $o(1/\sqrt{r})$ since $\mathbb{E}[\sqrt{\tau}^p]$ is uniformly bounded. Now we construct a reconstruction for W' . We decompose the random measure $\nu := \nu^{(r)} := \lambda(\hat{\varphi}^{-1})$ into the sum

$$\nu = \sum_{i=0}^n \nu_i,$$

where $\nu_i = \nu|_{(\tau_{i-1}, \tau_{i+1})}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and ν_0 contains the remaining mass of ν . Then $\nu_i[\tau_{i-1}, \tau_i] \leq 1/n$. We set $\Delta\tau_i = \Delta\tau_i^{(r)} = \tau_i - \tau_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and choose

$$r_i = r_i(r, \hat{\varphi}) = \frac{\Delta\tau_i^{p/(p+2)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta\tau_j^{p/(p+2)}} r \vee \sqrt{r}.$$

Due to Lemma 9.1, there exists a constant $c_2 = c_2(p)$ and reconstructions $\hat{W}' = \hat{W}'^{(r)}$ such that conditional upon $\hat{\varphi}$, $\hat{W}'$ has range of size $\exp\{r_1 + \dots + r_n + 2dn\Delta r\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|W' - \hat{W}'\|_{L^p(\nu)}^p | \hat{\varphi}] &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\|W' - \hat{W}'\|_{L^p(\nu_i)}^p | \hat{\varphi}] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta\tau_i^{p/2} [D^{(q)}(r_i | W, \|\cdot\|_{L^p[0,1]}, p) + c_2 e^{-\Delta r}]^p. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that r_i converges uniformly to ∞ (independently of the realization of $\hat{\varphi}$). Thus, using condition (24), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\tau_i^{p/2} D^{(q)}(r_i | W, \|\cdot\|_{L^p[0,1]}, p)^p &\leq (K + o(1))^p \Delta\tau_i^{p/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_i^p}} \\ &\leq (K + o(1))^p \Delta\tau_i^{p/(p+2)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta\tau_j^{p/(p+2)} \right)^{p/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the $o(1)$ -term converges uniformly to 0 as $r \rightarrow \infty$, independently of the realization of $\hat{\varphi}$. Since $\mathbb{E}[\tau_i^{p/2}] \leq \mathbb{E}[\tau^{p/2}]$ is uniformly bounded, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|W' - \hat{W}'\|_{L^p(\nu)}^p] \leq \frac{(K + o(1))^p}{\sqrt{r^p}} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta\tau_j^{p/(p+2)} \right)^{(p+2)/2}\right].$$

Note that for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\Delta\tau_i$ converges in probability to $\varphi(i/n) - \varphi((i-1)/n)$ and, hence, by dominated convergence it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|W' - \hat{W}'\|_{L^p(\nu)}^p]^{1/p} \leq (K + o(1)) Z_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}},$$

where

$$Z_n := \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (\varphi(i/n) - \varphi((i-1)/n))^{p/(p+2)} \right)^{(p+2)/2}\right]^{1/p}.$$

Therefore, the reconstruction $\hat{W} := \hat{W}^{(r)} := \hat{W}' + \hat{W}''$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{W} - \hat{W}\|_{L^p(\nu)}^p]^{1/p} \lesssim K Z_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

It remains to combine all estimates to control the quality and complexity of the reconstruction

$$\hat{X} := \hat{X}^{(r)} := \hat{\hat{X}} + \hat{W}_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)}.$$

One has:

$$\begin{aligned} \log |\text{range}(\hat{X})| &\leq \log |\text{range}(\hat{\hat{X}}, \hat{W}, \hat{\varphi})| \\ &\leq r + (2dn + 1)\Delta r + \log |\text{range}(\hat{\hat{X}}, \hat{\varphi})| \\ &= (1 + o(1)) r. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|X - \hat{X}\|_{L^p[0,1]} &\leq \|\bar{X} - \hat{\hat{X}}\|_{[0,1]} + \|\bar{W}_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)} - \hat{W}_{\hat{\varphi}(\cdot)}\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &= \|\bar{X} - \hat{\hat{X}}\|_{[0,1]} + \|\bar{W} - \hat{W}\|_{L^p(\nu)}, \end{aligned}$$

hence:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|X - \hat{X}\|_{L^p[0,1]}^p]^{1/p} \lesssim K Z_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

The statement is valid for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and it remains to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n = \mathbb{E}[\|\sigma\|_{L^{2p/(p+2)}[0,1]}^p]^{1/p}$. Let for fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in [(i-1)/n, i/n)$,

$$\bar{\sigma}_t^2 = n \int_{(i-1)/n}^{i/n} \sigma_t^2 dt.$$

Then we can rewrite Z_n in terms of $\bar{\sigma}^2$:

$$Z_n = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^1 (\bar{\sigma}_t^2)^{p/(p+2)} dt \right)^{(p+2)/2} \right]^{1/p}.$$

As n tends to infinity, $\bar{\sigma}^2$ converges pointwise to σ^2 . Hence, the result follows by the dominated convergence theorem. $\square$

References

ANKIRCHNER, S., DEREICH, S. and IMKELLER, P. (2004). Enlargement of filtrations and continuous Girsanov-type embeddings. Preprint.

- DEREICH, S. (2003). High resolution coding of stochastic processes and small ball probabilities. Ph.D. Dissertation, TU Berlin, URL: http://edocs.tu-berlin.de/diss/2003/dereich_steffen.htm.
- DEREICH, S., FEHRINGER, F., MATOUSSI, A. and SCHEUTZOW, M. (2003). On the link between small ball probabilities and the quantization problem for Gaussian measures on Banach spaces. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **16** 249–265.
- DEREICH, S. and SCHEUTZOW, M. (2004). Polynomial decay in the quantization problem for fractional Brownian motions. Preprint.
- FEHRINGER, F. (2001). Kodierung von Gaußmaßen. Ph.D. Dissertation, TU Berlin.
- GARSIA, A. M., RODEMICH, E. and RUMSEY, H., JR. (1970/1971). A real variable lemma and the continuity of paths of some Gaussian processes. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **20** 565–578.
- GRAF, S. and LUSCHGY, H. (2000). *Foundations of quantization for probability distributions*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1730, Berlin: Springer.
- IHARA, S. (1993). *Information theory for continuous systems*. Singapore: World Scientific.
- JEULIN, T. and YOR, M. (eds.) (1985). *Grossissements de filtrations: exemples et applications*, vol. 1118 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. Papers from the seminar on stochastic calculus held at the Université de Paris VI, Paris, 1982/1983.
- LEDoux, M. (1996). Isoperimetry and Gaussian analysis. In *Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1994)*, vol. 1648 of *Lecture Notes in Math.* Springer, Berlin, 165–294.
- LEDoux, M. and TALAGRAND, M. (1991). *Probability in Banach spaces*, vol. 23 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. Isoperimetry and processes.
- LUSCHGY, H. and PAGÈS, G. (2004a). Functional quantization of 1-dimensional Brownian diffusion processes. Preprint.
- LUSCHGY, H. and PAGÈS, G. (2004b). Sharp asymptotics of the functional quantization problem for Gaussian processes. *Ann. Probab.* **32** 1574–1599.